



Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

0%

Overall Similarity

Date: Jan 12, 2026 (01:20 PM)

Matches: 0 / 5309 words

Sources: 0

Remarks: No similarity found,
your document looks healthy.

Verify Report:

Scan this QR Code



homepage: <https://journal-gehu.com/index.php/gehu> Effects of Sustained Silent Reading
on Eighth-Grade Students' Reading Comprehension: A Quasi-Experimental Study Asti
Fitra Auliya¹, Abd Kamaruddin², Zarkiani Hasyim³, Nur Sehang Thamrin⁴ ^{1,2,3,4}Tadulako
University, Palu, Central Sulawesi Article Info ABSTRACT Article history: Received

2025-12-05 Revised 2026-01-03 Accepted 2026-01-07 This study aims to determine
whether the Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) method is effective in improving the reading
comprehension of grade VIII students at SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah. This study used a
quasi-experimental design with two groups: the experimental group (VIII D) and the control
group (VIII A). Both groups were given a pre-test and a post-test of the same type,
consisting of multiple-choice, true/false, and detail questions that focused on literal
understanding of the narrative text. The experimental group received the SSR method for
six sessions, while the control group learned using the conventional method. The results
showed a significant improvement in students' reading comprehension after the
implementation of SSR. The average pre-test score of the experimental group increased
from 44.64 to 74.68 in the post-test, while the control group increased from 42.71 to 63.46.
The results of the t-test analysis showed that the t-counted value (3.82) was greater than
the t-table value (1.675) at the 0.05 significance level, indicating that the alternative
hypothesis is accepted. Thus, the application of the Sustained Silent Reading method has
proven effective in improving the reading comprehension of grade VIII students at SMP
Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah. Keywords: Narrative text Quasi-experimental Reading
comprehension Sustained Silent Reading This is an open-access article under the CC BY-
SA license. Corresponding Author: Asti Fitra Auliya Faculty of English Language
Education, English Education, Tadulako University Email: astiaulia157@gmail.com 1.

INTRODUCTION One of the four language skills that a learner must acquire to be
successful in language acquisition is reading. Reading is the process of deciphering written
materials [1]. It is a multifaceted task that calls for both perception and reasoning. Reading

is the process of pronouncing words and acquiring meanings from print materials, involving the analysis and organisation of complex skills, including lessons, thoughts, consideration, integration, and problem-solving, which result in clear information for readers [2]. Reading comprehension is an activity or process to obtain the meaning or message that has been conveyed by the author through words or writing [3]. Reading

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 708 comprehension is a process that involves several senses and is a thinking activity in understanding the meaning or message conveyed by the author to the reader. In addition, reading has a specific purpose. The main purpose of every reader is to understand all the information contained in the reading text so that it can become a provision of knowledge (intellectual development) for the future of the reader himself [4]. Thus, understanding the content of reading is a very important factor in reading. Therefore, the researcher chose the SSR method because reading silently will help students concentrate on reading [5]. In addition, reading silently will help students understand each sentence without being distracted by time pressure or external distractions. In implementing the Merdeka Curriculum, Anggreana [6] stated that English Language Teaching for Junior High School Grade VIII is an English subject in Phase D. The Phase D English teaching program is implemented to facilitate learners in Grade VIII and encourage them to develop their skills from oral to written language. Teaching English for Junior High School Grade VIII (Phase D) provides opportunities for learners to develop their English language skills through six language skills: listening, speaking, reading, watching, writing, and presenting, integrated across various types of texts. The approach used in learning English for Junior High School Class VIII (Stage D) is a genre-based approach, which is genre-based learning or text types, in various modes, whether oral, written, visual, audio, or multimodal. This Grade VIII book focuses on recount, narrative, descriptive, and procedure texts. According to the researcher's preliminary interview at SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah, eighth-grade students struggle to learn English, particularly in reading. First of all, the majority of students struggle to

comprehend English texts. Secondly, their limited vocabulary makes it difficult for them to understand the context. Ultimately, they do not understand the text's most crucial points. To improve students' reading comprehension, many strategies can be used, including Sustained Silent Reading (SSR). The Sustained Silent Reading method increases students' interest in reading by providing dedicated time for quiet reading. Therefore, the researcher introduced a strategy called SSR. This strategy is suitable for overcoming the problem. Gardiner [7] states that Sustained Silent Reading is a time during which a class, or in some cases an entire school, reads quietly together. During English class, students are free to select their own reading materials and read them at their own pace. Reading aloud without interruption does not require uttering every word. When reading silently, a reader says the words that come to mind. They are also taught time management skills while reading a text, and they are free to select books, magazines, and other materials of interest [8]. Based on the explanation above, the researcher believes that the SSR learning strategy can be an alternative for students in learning reading comprehension to achieve academic success later. The researcher also expects that students will be more confident in their abilities. Therefore, the researcher is interested in conducting a study entitled "The Use of Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) Method to Improve Reading Comprehension of the eighth-grade students of SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah".

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 709 2. METHOD In conducting this study, the researcher used the Sustained Silent Reading method. SSR, as a simple yet effective reading activity, never happens in a vacuum. Just providing children with a large amount of time to read various materials may not be enough. Instead, well-regulated procedures leading the activity are indispensable for its success. To effectively implement it, many researchers and teachers have suggested various guidelines for organising and managing their classrooms. For the first time, Cracken [9] developed feasible principles for the activity. They included the following six guidelines (pp. 521522): (a) Each student must read silently, (b) the teacher reads, (c) each student selects books, (d) a timer is used, (e)

there are absolutely no reports or records of any kind, and (f) begin with whole classes or larger groups of students. In applying the SSR method, researchers used narrative texts as reading materials. Researchers used a reading duration of 10-15 minutes. In this study, researchers did not use dictionaries; if students had difficulty understanding difficult words, researchers would help interpret them. The role of teachers in this study was only to provide advice to researchers. In conducting this research, the researcher uses a quasi-experimental design with two groups [10]. They are the experimental and control groups. Both groups will give pretest and post-test. The treatment will use the Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) method [11]. After the treatment, both the experimental and control groups will be compared based on the pre-test and post-test results. In this research, the researcher will use a test as the instrument. The test will be given before and after the treatment, called pre-test and posttest [12]. The researcher will apply the cluster sampling technique to select samples for this research [13]. Several steps could be used: First, the researcher provides four sheets of paper and writes the names of the classes on them. Second, the researcher folds and shuffles the paper. Third, the researcher will take two papers and confirm the selected classes. Fourth, the researcher will make two papers again, with experimental and control groups, and have two students from the selected classes take them out to determine the experimental and control groups. In this study, the researcher will take class VIII D as the experimental group and VIII A as the control group. In this research, the researcher will analyse the data by using simple statistical analysis [14]. First, the researcher will calculate students' individual scores on both the pretest and post-test. After obtaining students' individual scores, the researcher will calculate the average score for each experimental and control group. After that, the researcher will calculate the sums of squares for each experimental and control group. And then the researcher calculates the t-counted value to determine whether the difference between the two groups is significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter, researchers present and discuss the test results. The researcher gave a pre-test and post-test to the sample class to measure students' skills in understanding narrative text before and after

treatment. Researchers presented and analysed data

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 710 collection through tests. The data will be analysed statistically to determine whether the SSR (Sustained Silent Reading) strategy improves students' reading comprehension. 3.1. Result The researcher administered a pre-test to both the control and experimental groups. Table 1. The Pre-test Result of the Experimental Group (VIII D) No Initials Types of Test Raw Max. Standard MC Essay T/F

Score	Score	Score	1	AD	5	8	6	19	38	50.00	2	ADU	5	10	6	21	38	55.26	3	AH	4	10	4	18	38	47.37	4	AN	3	11	6	20	38	52.63	5	AF	4	10	4	18	38	47.37	6	AZ	5	8	6	19	38	50.00	7	AR	5	9	4	18	38	47.37	8	CH	5	10	6	21	38	55.26	9	EL	4	11	6	21	38	55.26	10	IL	4	4	4	12	38	31.58	11	IAA	5	11	6	22	38	57.89	12	IS	2	8	6	16	38	42.11	13	MAF	4	6	6	16	38	42.11	14	MRN	4	8	6	18	38	47.37	15	MRF	3	4	4	11	38	28.95	16	MFI	4	8	6	18	38	47.37	17	MYS	5	8	4	17	38	44.74	18	NY	5	10	4	19	38	50.00	19	NFM	4	11	2	17	38	44.74	20	NA	5	10	4	19	38	50.00	21	NK	4	8	6	18	38	47.37	22	PS	6	11	6	23	38	60.53	23	RH	4	12	4	20	38	52.63	24	TU	6	10	6	22	38	57.89	25	UW	4	12	6	22	38	57.89	26	ZH	4	17	6	27	38	71.05	27	ZHA	6	12	4	22	38	57.89	28	FR	5	12	6	23	38	60.53	29	AN	4	12	4	20	38	52.63	TOTAL	1294.74
-------	-------	-------	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	---	-----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	----	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	-------	---------

Based on the table above, the highest pre-test score in the experimental group was 71.05, and the lowest was 28.95. To determine which students passed, the researcher used a score of 70, the minimum passing score in this study. Overall, as seen in the table, only one student achieved the minimum passing standard in this study. This means students' reading comprehension on the pre-test was still low, as none of the students' scores met the passing standard.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 711 Table 2. The Pre-test Result of the Control Group (VIII A) No Initials Types of Test Raw Max. Standard MC Essay T/F

Score	Score	Score	1	AF	2	5	4	11	38	28.95	2	AY	5	12	8	25	38	65.79	3	AL	0	10	6	16	38	42.11	4	AF	4	12	10	26	38	68.42	5	AN	2	2	0	4	38	10.53	6	AQ	5	11	0	16	38	42.11	7	DAG	4	10	6	20	38	52.63	8	DW	1	8	8	17	38	44.74	9	FI	1	5	10	16	38	42.11	10	FO	1	8	6	15	38	39.47	11	KAP	5	4	0	9
-------	-------	-------	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	----	----	----	----	-------	---	----	---	---	---	---	----	-------	---	----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	-----	---	----	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	---	----	---	---	----	----	----	-------	----	----	---	---	---	----	----	-------	----	-----	---	---	---	---

38 23.68 12 MR 6 12 4 22 38 57.89 13 MZ 2 5 4 11 38 28.95 14 MA 4 10 6 20 38 52.63 15
MZA 2 5 6 13 38 34.21 16 NAR 6 6 10 22 38 57.89 17 NSR 2 5 6 13 38 34.21 18 NH 5 5 6
16 38 42.11 19 NZ 2 5 0 7 38 18.42 20 PA 6 12 8 26 38 68.42 21 RA 4 5 4 13 38 34.21 22
SA 1 8 8 17 38 44.74 23 T 4 13 8 25 38 65.79 24 TA 5 6 6 17 38 44.74 25 Z 1 8 0 9 38
23.68 26 AD 2 8 6 16 38 42.11 TOTAL 1110.53 The table before shows that the highest
score in the control group was 68.42 and the lowest was 10.53. From the table, it can be
seen that students did not reach the minimum standard score in this research. This means
that most students in the control group still have low reading comprehension. After
administering the treatment to the experimental group, the researcher administered a post-
test to both the experimental and control groups. Table 3. The Post-test Result of the
Experimental Group (VIII D) No Initials Types of Test Raw Max. Standard MC Essay T/F
Score Score Score 1 AD 8 18 10 36 38 94.74 2 ADU 8 15 10 33 38 86.84 3 AH 7 18 8 33
38 86.84 4 AN 8 17 10 35 38 92.11 5 AF 7 15 8 30 38 78.95 6 AZ 8 16 8 32 38 84.21 7 AR
7 15 8 30 38 78.95 8 CH 7 15 10 32 38 84.21 9 EL 8 17 8 33 38 86.84 10 IL 6 15 8 29 38
76.32 11 IAA 7 15 8 30 38 78.95 12 IS 7 14 4 25 38 65.79 13 MAF 6 14 8 28 38 73.68 14
MRN 7 14 10 31 38 81.58 15 MRF 6 14 8 28 38 73.68

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 712 No Initials Types of Test Raw Max.
Standard MC Essay T/F Score Score Score 16 MFI 6 14 6 26 38 68.42 17 MYS 6 16 10 32
38 84.21 18 NY 7 18 8 33 38 86.84 19 NFM 7 16 8 31 38 81.58 20 NA 8 15 8 31 38 81.58
21 NK 7 18 8 33 38 86.84 22 PS 8 17 10 35 38 92.11 23 RH 7 16 8 31 38 81.58 24 TU 8
18 10 36 38 94.74 25 UW 8 17 8 33 38 86.84 26 ZH 8 19 10 37 38 97.37 27 ZHA 8 17 8 33
38 86.84 28 FR 8 18 10 36 38 94.74 29 AN 8 20 10 38 38 100.00 TOTAL 2165.79 Table
3 shows that the highest post-test score in the experimental group was 100.00, and the
lowest score was 65.79. Based on the minimum standard score in this research, 27
students passed the test, while the others did not. Looking at the data, most students
achieved the minimum passing standard score of 70. Table 4. The Post-test Result of the
Control Group (VIII A) No Initials Types of Test Raw Max. Standard MC Essay T/F Score

Score Score 1 AF 3 10 6 19 38 50,00 2 AY 6 14 8 28 38 73,68 3 AL 5 12 6 23 38 60,53 4
 AF 8 14 6 28 38 73,68 5 AN 5 10 4 19 38 50,00 6 AQ 5 11 6 22 38 57,89 7 DAG 5 10 8 23
 38 60,53 8 DW 2 13 6 21 38 55,26 9 FI 6 12 8 26 38 68,42 10 FO 5 12 4 21 38 55,26 11
 KAP 5 12 6 23 38 60,53 12 MR 6 12 8 26 38 68,42 13 MZ 3 10 6 19 38 50,00 14 MA 5 13
 8 26 38 68,42 15 MZA 3 10 6 19 38 50,00 16 NAR 6 14 6 26 38 68,42 17 NSR 6 15 6 27
 38 71,05 18 NH 5 14 8 27 38 71,05 19 NZ 5 13 8 26 38 68,42 20 PA 7 15 8 30 38 78,95 21
 RA 5 14 8 27 38 71,05 22 SA 1 15 6 22 38 57,89 23 T 6 15 8 29 38 76,32 24 TA 6 11 4 21
 38 55,26 25 Z 5 14 6 25 38 65,79 26 AD 4 14 6 24 38 63,16 TOTAL 1650,00

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 713 Table 4 shows that the highest score of the control group was 78.95, and the lowest score was 50.00. Based on the minimum passing standard score in this research, seven students passed the test. The other students' scores did not meet the passing standard. After calculating the pre-test and post-test scores of students in the experimental and control groups, the researcher continued analysing the students' data by calculating the deviations and the squares of the students' deviations.

Table 5. Deviation and Square Deviation of the Experimental Group (VIII D) No Initials Pre-Test Post-test Deviation Squared Deviation 1 AD 50,00 94,74 44,74 2001,39 2 ADU 55,26 86,84 31,58 997,23 3 AH 47,37 86,84 39,47 1558,17 4 AN 52,63 92,11 39,47 1558,17 5 AF 47,37 78,95 31,58 997,23 6 AZ 50,00 84,21 34,21 1170,36 7 AR 47,37 78,95 31,58 997,23 8 CH 55,26 84,21 28,95 837,95 9 EL 55,26 86,84 31,58 997,23 10 IL 31,58 76,32 44,74 2001,39 11 IAA 57,89 78,95 21,05 443,21 12 IS 42,11 65,79 23,68 560,94 13 MAF 42,11 73,68 31,58 997,23 14 MRN 47,37 81,58 34,21 1170,36 15 MRF 28,95 73,68 44,74 2001,39 16 MFI 47,37 68,42 21,05 443,21 17 MYS 44,74 84,21 39,47 1558,17 18 NY 50,00 86,84 36,84 1357,34 19 NFM 44,74 81,58 36,84 1357,34 20 NA 50,00 81,58 31,58 997,23 21 NK 47,37 86,84 39,47 1558,17 22 PS 60,53 92,11 31,58 997,23 23 RH 52,63 81,58 28,95 837,95 24 TU 57,89 94,74 36,84 1357,34 25 UW 57,89 86,84 28,95 837,95 26 ZH 71,05 97,37 26,32 692,52 27 ZHA 57,89 86,84 28,95 837,95 28 FR 60,53 94,74 34,21 1170,36 29 AN 52,63 100,00 47,37 2243,77 Total 871.05 34536.01

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 714 Table 6. Deviation and Square

Deviation of the Control Group (VIII A) No Initials Pre-Test Post-test Deviation Squared

Deviation 1 AF 28,95 50,00 21,05 443,21 2 AY 65,79 73,68 7,89 62,33 3 AL 42,11 60,53
18,42 339,34 4 AF 68,42 73,68 5,26 27,70 5 AN 10,53 50,00 39,47 1558,17 6 AQ 42,11
57,89 15,79 249,31 7 DAG 52,63 60,53 7,89 62,33 8 DW 44,74 55,26 10,53 110,80 9 FI
42,11 68,42 26,32 692,52 10 FO 39,47 55,26 15,79 249,31 11 KAP 23,68 60,53 36,84
1357,34 12 MR 57,89 68,42 10,53 110,80 13 MZ 28,95 50,00 21,05 443,21 14 MA 52,63
68,42 15,79 249,31 15 MZA 34,21 50,00 15,79 249,31 16 NAR 57,89 68,42 10,53 110,80
17 NSR 34,21 71,05 36,84 1357,34 18 NH 42,11 71,05 28,95 837,95 19 NZ 18,42 68,42
50,00 2500,00 20 PA 68,42 78,95 10,53 110,80 21 RA 34,21 71,05 36,84 1357,34 22 SA
44,74 57,89 13,16 173,13 23 T 65,79 76,32 10,53 110,80 24 TA 44,74 55,26 10,53 110,80
25 Z 23,68 65,79 42,11 1772,85 26 AD 42,11 63,16 21,05 443,21 Total 539.47 15090.03

Based on tables 3.5 and 3.6 above, the mean deviation of the pre-test and post-test in the experimental and control groups was calculated using the formula from Ali and Bhaskar

[15] as follows: a. The formula of the experimental group b. The formula of the control

group $M_x = \frac{\sum x}{N}$ $M_x = \frac{871.05}{29}$ $M_x = 30.03$ $M_y = \frac{\sum y}{N}$ $M_y = \frac{539.47}{26}$ $M_y =$

20.74 After obtaining the mean deviation scores for the pre-test and post-test scores in the experimental and control groups, the researcher calculated the sum of squared deviations.

a. The formula of the experimental group $\sum x^2 = \sum X^2 - \frac{(\sum X)^2}{N}$ $\sum x^2 = 34536.01 - \frac{(871.05)^2}{29}$

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 715 $\sum x^2 = 34536.01 - \frac{(758728.10)}{29}$ $\sum x^2 =$

$34536.01 - 26163.03$ $\sum x^2 = 8372.98$ b. The formula of the control group $\sum y^2 = \sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum Y)^2}{N}$ $\sum y^2 = 15090.03 - \frac{(539.47)^2}{26}$ $\sum y^2 = 15090.03$

$- 11193.37$ $\sum y^2 = 3896.66$ After obtaining the sums of squared deviations for the

experimental and control groups, the researcher calculated the t-value to determine

whether the difference was significant in both groups. $t = \frac{M_x - M_y}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2}{N_x} + \frac{\sum y^2}{N_y}}}$

$$t = \frac{30.03 - 20.74}{\sqrt{\frac{8372.98 + 3896.66}{29 + 26 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{26} \right)}}$$

$$t = \frac{9.29}{\sqrt{\frac{4476.32}{53} \left(\frac{1}{29} + \frac{1}{26} \right)}}$$

$$t = \frac{9.29}{\sqrt{844.58}} = \frac{9.29}{29.23} = 3.18$$

From the calculation results, the t-count for this research is 3.82. After that, the t-counted value is compared with the t-table value using a hypothesis test.

3.2. Testing Hypothesis

To determine whether the hypothesis is accepted, the researcher conducts a hypothesis test based on the data. The criteria for hypothesis testing are that the t-counted value is higher than the t-table value. This was also used to determine whether the SSR strategy was effective in improving grade 8 students' reading comprehension at SMP

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 716 Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah. To determine the significant difference between the two groups, the researcher used the significance level with 53 degrees of freedom (df) obtained from summing the number of research samples, then minus two ($N_x + N_y - 2$), as shown below: Experimental group (N_x) = 29 Control group (N_y) = 26 Degree of freedom (df) = $N_x + N_y - 2 = 29 + 26 - 2 = 53$ (between 40-60) Because the df value (53) with a significance level of 0.05 is not listed in the table, the researcher used the interpolation Formula of Gujarati and Porter [16]. Basic Econometrics (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill, to find out the t-table value as follows: $I = a \frac{b - x}{b - c}$ Where: I = interpolation a = subtraction of d.f and lowest d.f. b = subtraction of the highest d.f. and lowest d.f. c = subtraction of tmin and tmax Level of significance = 0.05 $I = a \frac{b - x}{b - c}$ $I = 53 - 40 \frac{1.684 - 1.671}{60 - 40}$ $I = 13 \frac{0.013}{20}$ $I = 0.00845$ $d. f. = \text{lowest } d. f. - I$ $d. f. = 1.684 - 0.00845$ $d. f. = 1.675$ The results of the data analysis show that the t-value is 1.675. Thus, when the t-counted value (3.82) is compared with the t-table value (1.675), it is known that the t-counted value is higher. That is, the hypothesis is accepted; in other words, the SSR strategy is effective in improving the reading comprehension of grade 8 students at SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah.

3.3. Discussion

The findings of this research indicate that the Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) method significantly improved the reading comprehension of grade VIII students at SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah. This is evidenced by the higher post-test mean score in the

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 717 experimental group compared to the control group, as well as by the t-test result, which showed that the t-count (3.82) exceeded the t-table (1.675). There are certain challenges that students in the class experience, such as not enjoying reading texts throughout the learning process because they do not understand and are poorly motivated to read narrative texts. Furthermore, noisy classrooms owing to uncontrolled students' noise make it harder for students to answer questions connected to the information that is not directly expressed in the text, thus they cannot predict what the text actually means. In the treatment, the researcher used the sustained silent reading (SSR) method, which guides students as they read, helping them comprehend the story more easily. This method makes students read calmly and focus on their reading. They are more motivated to read because they can share their feelings or respond to the story's content with others. Students can also ask the researcher for words or sentences they do not know after the time to read is over, so that they can find the implicit or explicit message in the text. This improvement may be attributed to the nature of the SSR method, which provides students with uninterrupted time to read silently, allowing them to focus on meaning without external distractions. SSR also encourages learner autonomy by allowing students to select reading materials, which increases motivation and engagement and supports deeper comprehension. The researcher concluded that the Sustained Silent Reading method is effective in improving students' reading comprehension. Moreover, the researcher is supported by Pitasari [17], Which Conducted a study entitled "Improving the Students' Reading Comprehension through Sustained Silent Reading Method." He concluded that Sustained Silent Reading was an effective technique for teaching reading comprehension. Teaching reading comprehension through Sustained Silent Reading can also improve and maintain students' motivation, desire, and enjoyment in learning English. The first research was titled "Effectiveness of Sustained Silent Reading on Reading Comprehension Ability of MI Darul Falah 1 Samarinda Students. This research uses a quantitative approach. The second research, entitled "Improving Reading Comprehension

Skills Through Sustained Silent Reading in Class V of Serang Pengasih Public Elementary School". This research is a collaborative classroom action research. Based on the two previous studies, this research shows similarities and differences. The similarities are the use of the SSR strategy and the school's level. Two previous researchers applied their strategy in an elementary school. Then, the differences are in the research design. Fitriyah [18] used pre-experimental research, and Munawaroh [19] used collaborative classroom action research. In this research, the researcher will use quasi-experimental research. Based on the two relevant studies above, it can be concluded that the SSR strategy can improve students' reading comprehension, as the first and second studies reported significant improvements in their students' scores. The results suggest that SSR can be an effective alternative strategy for teaching reading comprehension, particularly narrative texts, at the junior high school level. English teachers are encouraged to incorporate SSR into classroom instruction to foster reading interest and improve students' comprehension skills.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 718 In addition, various challenges arise in teaching reading at the junior high school level. An appropriate method is required to solve those problems. The researcher sets aside a brief period each day for silent reading as part of the sustained silent reading (SSR) strategy. It indicates that students did not require much time to comprehend the text when the sustained silent reading (SSR) approach was used. Moreover, this method focused on the process of reading the text itself. Therefore, sustained silent reading should be applied to junior high school students. Using sustained silent reading (SSR) methods can help students practice and improve their concentration. This method is also appropriate for developing their understanding of the text and for becoming good readers. Despite its positive findings, this study has some limitations. The research was conducted over a limited number of sessions and involved only two classes, which may affect the generalizability of the results. Additionally, the study focused only on literal comprehension of narrative texts. 4. CONCLUSION Based on the

research findings and discussion in the previous chapter, the researcher concluded that the SSR strategy can improve students' reading comprehension. This can be proven by examining the increase in the mean score from 44.64 to 74.68. Furthermore, the data analysis showed that the experimental group's mean score (74.68) was higher than the control group's (63.46). There is a significant difference between the experimental and control groups' mean scores. This is evidenced by the data analysis, which shows that the t-counted value (3.82) is greater than the t-table value (1.675). This also answers the research question: using the SSR strategy can improve grade 8 students' reading comprehension at SMP Negeri 1 Banawa Tengah. The researcher offers the following suggestions to students, teachers, and future researchers. It is highly recommended that teachers adopt and integrate the Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) method into their reading instruction, Teachers should provide a new and effective approach to teaching reading, which can help vary their teaching processes and make it easier for them to teach reading comprehension to students, teacher should serve as a valuable informational base in the field of improving students' reading skills, Teachers should also provide a variety of reading materials that are appropriate to students' proficiency levels and ensure a supportive, distraction-free learning environment, The things mentioned previously are also addressed to the other researchers who want to conduct research using SSR strategy. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** The author would like to express his deepest appreciation and gratitude to all parties who provided support, contributions, and assistance throughout the research process and the preparation of this journal article. First, the author would like to thank Abd. Kamaruddin, S.Pd., M.Ed., Ph.D., and Zarkiani Hasyim, S.Pd., M.Pd., as supervisors, for their academic guidance, methodological direction, and valuable input that have enriched the quality of this research. Thanks are also extended to the principal of SMP Negeri 1

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.898> 719 Banawa Tengah for providing research facilities, access to literature, and a supportive school environment. The author would also

like to thank Dinda, Putri, Yola, and Ebeng for their technical assistance, scientific discussions, and productive collaboration during the data collection and analysis process. Sincere appreciation goes to the respondents/participants who took the time to participate in this research. Thanks are also extended to colleagues, both in academic and professional circles, who provided critical input and constructive feedback at various stages of this article's development. Finally, the author expresses his deepest gratitude to his family and friends, whose continued moral support, motivation, and prayers ensured the successful completion of this research. The author hopes that this scientific work will make a positive contribution to the development of science and serve as a reference for further research.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Verhoeven, "Second language reading acquisition," in *Handbook of Reading Research, Volume IV*, Routledge, 2011, pp. 661–683. [2] T. Songsiengchai, "Strategies in reading online and printed academic texts of English major students of Srinakharinwirot University." Srinakharinwirot University Bangkok, Thailand, 2010. [3] T. G. Henry, "Membaca sebagai suatu keterampilan berbahasa," Bandung: Angkasa, 2008. [4] J. A. Langer, "The process of understanding: Reading for literary and informative purposes," *Res. Teach. English*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 229–260, 1990. [5] S. Nazlı, "A comparison of sustained silent reading (SSR) and reading while listening (RWL) techniques in EFL reading comprehension: A study with 8th grade Turkish students." Anadolu University (Turkey), 2012. [6] G. Anggreana, A. Felicia, and A. Herutami, "Iswoyo, hartini," *Mahardika. "Panduan Pembelajaran Dan Asesmen."* Badan Standar, Kurikulum, Dan Asesmen Pendidik. Kementerian. Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, Dan Teknol. Republik Indones., vol. 123, 2022. [7] S. Gardiner, *Building student literacy through sustained silent reading*. ASCD, 2005. [8] J. D. Ferner, *Successful time management: A self-teaching guide*. John Wiley & Sons, 1995. [9] R. A. Mc Cracken, "Initiating Sustained Silent Reading," vol. 14, 1971. [10] Y. Levy and T. J. Ellis, "A guide for novice researchers on experimental and quasi-experimental studies in information systems research," *Interdiscip. J. information, knowledge, Manag.*, vol. 6, p. 151, 2011. [11] J. L. Pilgreen and S. D. Krashen, *The SSR handbook: How to organize and manage a sustained silent*

reading program. Boynton/Cook Publishers Portsmouth, NH, 2000. [12] P. Dugard and J. Todman, "Analysis of pre-test-post-test control group designs in educational research," *Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 181–198, 1995. [13] P. Sedgwick, "Cluster sampling," *Bmj*, vol. 348, 2014. [14] Z. Ali and S. B. Bhaskar, "Basic statistical tools in research and data analysis," *Indian J. Anaesth.*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 662–669, 2016. [15] S. Arikunto, "Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik," 2006. [16] D. N. Gujarati and D. C. Porter, "Basic Econometrics. McGraw-Hill Inc," New York, vol. 11, pp. 28– 50, 1995. [17] I. N. Pitasari, "Improving Students' reading Comprehension Through Sustained Silent Reading (Ssr) Method," *Karya Ilm. Mhs. Progdi Pendidik. Bhs. Ingg. FKIP*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2015. [18] N. Fitriyah, "Efektivitas Membaca Senyap (Sustained Silent Reading) Terhadap Kemampuan Membaca Pemahaman Siswa MI Darul Falah 1 Samarinda," 2022. [19] N. Munawaroh, "Peningkatan Keterampilan Membaca Pemahaman Melalui Sustained Silent Reading (SSR) Di Kelas V Sd Negeri Serang Pengasih Kabupaten Kulon Progo.[Skripsi. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta]." Yogyakarta, 2017.

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES	OFF
EXCLUDE QUOTES	OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON