




# Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

## 16%



**Overall Similarity**

**Date:** Jan 5, 2026 (03:21 AM)

**Matches:** 748 / 4547 words

**Sources:** 22

**Remarks:** Moderate similarity detected, consider enhancing the document if necessary.

**Verify Report:**

Scan this QR Code



Journal of General Education and Humanities Vol. 5, No. 1, February 2026, pp. 389 – 399,

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> ISSN 2963-7147 389 Journal homepage:

<https://journal-gehu.com/index.php/gehu> 1 **The Relationship Between** Secure

Attachment Style and Self-Disclosure in Late Adolescents Syieftha Atharida Pertiwi<sup>1</sup>,

Denrich Suryadi<sup>2\*</sup> 1,2 Psychology Study Program, Faculty of Psychology, Tarumanagara

University, Indonesia. . Article Info ABSTRACT Article history: Received 2025-12-02

Revised 2025-12-21 Accepted 2025-12-23 This study examines 1 **the relationship**

**between** secure attachment style and self-disclosure among late adolescents in Jakarta,

Indonesia (N = 170). The findings indicate a statistically significant yet weak positive

correlation between the two variables ( $r(168) = .151, p = .050$ ). This suggests that higher

levels of secure attachment tend to be associated with increased self-disclosure; however,

the magnitude of this association is limited and does not consistently reach a high level of

openness. Conversely, lower levels of secure attachment do not necessarily correspond to

lower self-disclosure, as the observed relationship is correlational rather than causal and

may be influenced by other internal and external factors. Overall, secure attachment style

is related to adolescents' self-disclosure, but it should not be regarded as the sole

determinant of openness during late adolescence. Keywords: Correlation, Interpersonal

Relationships. Late Adolescents, Secure Attachment Style, Self-Disclosure, This is an

open-access article under the CC BY-SA license. Corresponding Author: Denrich Suryadi

Psychology Study Program, Faculty of Psychology, Tarumanagara University, Indonesia.

Email: denrichs@fpsi.untar.ac.id 1. INTRODUCTION Human development unfolds

through a sequence of psychosocial stages, one of which is late adolescence, a period

characterized by the transition from childhood to adulthood, identity exploration, and

increasingly complex social relationships. During this phase, psychological well-being and

interpersonal functioning are strongly influenced 6 **by secure attachment and** self-

disclosure, both of which play a central **role in shaping** adolescents' social adjustment and

emotional health [1], [2]. Attachment Theory posits that **a sense of security** is formed

through consistent parental **responsiveness, warmth, and** emotional availability, which

become the foundation for later relational patterns [3], [4]. Secure attachment developed in childhood is reflected in confidence, effective emotion regulation, <sup>5</sup> and the ability to form healthy interpersonal relationships in adolescence and beyond [5], [6]. Individuals <sup>6</sup> with secure attachment are also more likely to employ adaptive emotion regulation strategies, such as seeking social support,

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 390 problem-solving, and positive reappraisal, which enhance coping effectiveness and goal attainment [7]. Secure attachment is conceptually and empirically linked to self-disclosure, understood as the selective and context-sensitive sharing of personal information with others [8], [9]. Self-disclosure <sup>1</sup> is a core component of interpersonal communication and encompasses several qualitative aspects, including amount, valence, honesty, and relational closeness [10], [11]. Developmentally, self-disclosure is rooted in Erikson's stage of identity versus role confusion, which precedes the intimacy versus isolation stage in early adulthood. Successful identity formation <sup>1</sup> in late adolescence supports emotional openness and the capacity to engage in meaningful disclosure, which in turn facilitates the development of intimacy in subsequent relationships [12], [13]. Empirical findings indicate that stronger identity-related competencies are associated with higher levels of interpersonal intimacy over time, highlighting the developmental continuity between identity formation and self-disclosure [14], [15]. In contemporary social contexts, particularly among late adolescents, digital communication environments have intensified challenges related to self-disclosure. <sup>2</sup> Social media platforms often encourage impulsive emotional expression and validation-seeking behaviors, as illustrated by viral trends such as public confessions of personal shame on TikTok [16], [17]. These patterns underscore how limited emotion regulation and insecure relational foundations <sup>1</sup> may contribute to maladaptive disclosure, especially in online settings. Healthy self-disclosure, therefore, is not only essential for strengthening interpersonal relationships but also functions as a protective coping mechanism that reduces psychological distress and prevents negative social

consequences [18], [19]. Within the Indonesian family context, attachment patterns and parenting practices remain critical determinants of adolescents' <sup>1</sup> social and emotional development. Secure attachment provides a foundation for empathy, emotional regulation, and positive peer relationships [19]. In contrast, unmet emotional needs related to safety, affection, and closeness <sup>2</sup> may result in insecure attachment patterns, which are associated with anxiety, low social trust, and difficulties in forming healthy emotional bonds in later relationships [20]. As the family continues to function as the primary socialization environment during the transition to adulthood, early attachment experiences play a decisive role in adolescents' adaptive social functioning [21]. Empirical studies have demonstrated associations <sup>1</sup> between secure attachment style and adolescents' social and emotional functioning. Farrah, Nata, and Dwiyani (2023) reported high levels of self-disclosure among late-adolescent female TikTok users, particularly in dimensions related to honesty and determination. Zhang, Li, and Zhao (2022) found that attachment style is <sup>2</sup> significantly associated with adolescent self-disclosure, with emotion regulation acting as a partial mediator. Other research showed that secure attachment among social media users is linked to adaptive coping strategies that support healthier patterns of self-disclosure [22], [23], [24]. Despite this growing body of research, important gaps remain. Existing studies often emphasize online populations, focus on specific platforms, or examine attachment in relation to academic or general psychosocial outcomes rather than directly testing the relationship

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 391 <sup>1</sup> between secure attachment style and self-disclosure as measured by standardized instruments. Moreover, empirical research that simultaneously operationalizes secure attachment using the Attachment Style Questionnaire–Short Form (ASQ-SF) and self-disclosure using the Self-Disclosure Scale by Wheelless and Grotz remains limited, particularly among late adolescents aged 18–21 in urban Indonesian settings such as Jakarta. Consequently, there is insufficient evidence clarifying how secure attachment relates to overall self-disclosure tendencies in this

specific developmental and cultural context. <sup>1</sup> Based on these considerations, the present study aims to examine the relationship between secure attachment style and self-disclosure among late adolescents aged 18–21, including final-year high school students and early-semester university students in Jakarta [25], [26]. By addressing this specific population and employing established measurement instruments, the study seeks to provide more precise empirical evidence on attachment and self-disclosure in Indonesian late adolescence.

2. METHOD Type of Research This study adopts a quantitative correlational approach to empirically examine the statistical association between secure attachment style (independent variable) and self-disclosure (dependent variable) among late adolescents. The design is non-experimental, as no intervention or manipulation is applied; the analysis focuses solely on naturally occurring variations between variables.

The strength and direction of the relationship are analyzed <sup>4</sup> using correlation analysis.

The Pearson Product-Moment correlation is employed as the primary analysis because the data are measured on an interval scale and meet the assumptions of normality, as outlined in methodological guidelines [27]. Operational Definition <sup>1</sup> of Secure Attachment Style

Secure attachment style is operationalized using the Attachment Style

Questionnaire–Short Form (ASQ-SF). Classification is based on a composite rule:

participants are categorized as having a secure attachment style if they obtain a score above the sample median on the Confidence dimension and scores below the sample median on all insecure dimensions, namely <sup>16</sup> Discomfort with Closeness, Relationships

as Secondary, Need for Approval, and Preoccupation with Relationships. Median-based

cutoffs are applied to ensure consistency in classification within the sample distribution and to allow replication across similar populations. Sampling Technique and Participant Criteria

The sampling strategy uses purposive sampling, selecting participants who meet predefined criteria relevant to the research objectives. Eligible participants are late

adolescents aged 18–21 years who are active college students or final-year high school students, and who have resided in the Jakarta area for at least 6 months. Recruitment is conducted through online platforms, including WhatsApp groups, Instagram, and student

community networks. Individuals outside the specified age range, not currently enrolled as students, or not residing in Jakarta are excluded. No material or monetary incentives are

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 392 provided to participants. This approach is selected to ensure alignment between participant characteristics and the psychosocial constructs under investigation [27].

### Research Setting and Instruments

The research is conducted in Jakarta using an online data collection setting. Questionnaires are distributed digitally via a survey link shared <sup>2</sup> through social media and communication groups. Measurement instruments include <sup>1</sup> the Attachment Style Questionnaire–Short Form (ASQ-SF) to assess attachment style and the Self-Disclosure Scale developed by Wheelless and Grotz (1976). Data management <sup>2</sup> and statistical analysis are performed using Jamovi software version 2.7.11.

### Data Processing and Analysis Techniques

Self-disclosure is analyzed using total scale scores and dimensional scores representing frequency, depth, accuracy, intention, and the <sup>15</sup> positive and negative aspects of disclosure. All scale dimensions are subjected to reliability testing prior to analysis. Data normality is assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test, which is applied consistently because the sample size is below 200. Correlation analysis is conducted using Pearson’s correlation coefficient as the primary test. When normality assumptions are not met for either variable, Spearman’s rho is additionally reported as a robustness check <sup>2</sup> to support the stability of the findings. Differences between relevant groups are examined using appropriate comparative tests based on data distribution.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Research Participant Criteria

Participants in this study were late adolescents, both male and female, aged 18 to 21. The second characteristic was that the subjects were active students. Furthermore, the subjects resided in Jakarta. The researcher did not limit participation to a specific school or university, so all students from any school or university were eligible to participate. This criterion was chosen because this age group is in a crucial phase of establishing healthy intimate relationships and a stable <sup>1</sup> sense of identity, and participants were expected to be able to understand and

complete the questionnaire. Based on data from 170 participants, **17** the largest age group was 20-year-olds (54 participants, 31.8%), followed by 18- and 19-year-olds (41 participants each, 24.1%), with the smallest group being 21-year-olds (34 participants, 20.0%). **1** In terms of education and activity status, most participants were 5th–6th semester university students (51 participants, 30.0%), followed by both 1st–2nd and 3rd–4th semester students (42 participants each, 24.7%). **5** At the same time, the smallest groups were 12th-grade high school students and 8th grade junior high students (1 participant each, 0.6%), as well as workers (2 participants, 1.2%). Most participants lived in North Jakarta (41 participants, 24.1%), followed by West Jakarta (37 participants, 21.8%), Central and East Jakarta (31 participants each, 18.2%), with the fewest residing in South Jakarta (30 participants, 17.6%). Regarding closest relationships, **2** the majority reported parents as their closest figures (83 participants, 48.8%), followed by friends and romantic partners (29 participants each, 17.1%). Most participants

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 393 lived **6** with their parents (87 participants, 51.2%), while 62 (36.5%) lived independently and 21 (12.4%) lived with extended family. Finally, parents were also the most frequently chosen confidants (63 participants, 37.1%), followed by friends (46 participants, 27.1%) and romantic partners (40 participants, 23.5%), indicating that parents and friends remain the primary trusted sources for **22** sharing personal experiences.

Table 1. Participant Overview

17 Gender Frequency Percentage (%)	
Female	87 51.2
Male	83 48.8
Total	170 100
Age Frequency Percentage (%)	
18 years old	41 24.1
19 years old	41 24.1
20 years old	54 31.8
21 years old	34 20.0
Total	170 100
Status Frequency Percentage (%)	
5th–6th Semester College Student	51 30.0
1st–2nd Semester College Student	42 24.7
3rd–4th Semester College Student	42 24.7
7th–8th Semester College Student	31 18.2
Worker	2 1.2
12th Grade High School Student	1 0.6
8th Grade Junior High School Student	1 0.6
Total	170 100
Domicile Frequency Percentage (%)	
North Jakarta	41 24.1
West Jakarta	37 21.8
Central Jakarta	31 18.2
East Jakarta	31 18.2
South Jakarta	30 17.6
Total	170 100
Closest Relationship Frequency Percentage (%)	

Parents 83 48.8 Friends 29 17.1 Partner 29 17.1 Extended family (cousins, aunts, uncles, grandparents, etc.) 15 8.8 Siblings 14 8.2 Total 170 100 Living Status Frequency Percentage (%) Living with parents 87 51.2 Living alone (boarding house/apartment/own house) 62 36.5 Living with extended family 21 12.4 Total 170 100 Storytelling Preference Frequency Percentage (%) Parents 63 37.1 Friends 46 27.1 Partner 40 23.5 Extended family (cousins, aunts, uncles, grandparents, etc.) 11 6.5 Siblings 10 5.9 Total 170 100

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 394 Main Data Analysis Assumption Test

This study used <sup>19</sup> the Shapiro-Wilk Test for normality because the sample size was less than 200 (N = 170). Data are considered normally distributed if the significance value (p) is greater than 0.05. <sup>1</sup> The results of the normality test indicate that for the secure

attachment style variable (Total X1), the p-value is <.001, indicating  $p < 0.05$ . Thus, the secure attachment style variable <sup>7</sup> is not normally distributed. For the self-disclosure variable (Total Y), the p-value is 0.661, meaning  $p > 0.05$ . Therefore, the self-disclosure variable is normally distributed. Although one of the variables is not normally distributed,

Pearson correlation analysis was still used because the large sample size (N = 170) means that violations of normality do not significantly affect the test's robustness. Table 2.

<sup>1</sup> Results of the Normality Test for Secure Attachment Style and Self-Disclosure Variables

Variable	p	Description
Secure Attachment Style	< .001	Not Normal
Self-Disclosure	0.661	Normal

Hypothesis Analysis Hypothesis analysis was conducted to determine whether there is a relationship between secure attachment style and self-disclosure in late adolescence. This study used a medium-sized sample (N = 170) and interval-scale data. Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the relationship

between variables. The analysis showed a positive correlation between secure attachment style and self-disclosure. <sup>4</sup> The correlation coefficient obtained was  $r(170) = 0.151$ ,  $p = 0.050$  ( $< 0.05$ ), indicating a statistically significant relationship. This finding indicates that the higher the participants' secure attachment style, the higher their level of self-disclosure.

Although the correlation is categorized as weak (Privitera, 2015), the <sup>7</sup> direction of the

relationship still supports the research hypothesis: a positive relationship between the two variables. Table 3. Pearson Correlation Test Results

Variable	r	p	Description
Secure Attachment Style & Self-Disclosure	0.151	0.050	Significant, Weak Positive Correlation

Additional Data Analysis Self-Disclosure Difference Test Based on Closest Relationship The researcher conducted additional analyses to determine whether self-disclosure levels differed across the closest relationship categories. The difference test was conducted using the Kruskal-Wallis Test, which is appropriate for non-normal data and more than two groups. The test results showed a significant value of  $p = 0.290$ , meaning  $p > 0.05$ . Therefore, it can be concluded that there are no differences in self-disclosure across the closest relationships. The above description is shown in Table 4.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 395 Table 4. Results of the Self-Disclosure Difference Test Based on Closest Relationship

Variable	p	Description
Self-Disclosure reviewed from the Closest Relationships	0.290	There is no difference

Self-Disclosure Difference Test Based on Residence Status Researchers conducted additional analyses to determine whether there was a difference in self-disclosure by residence status. With more than two groups, the KruskalWallis test was used, and the results showed a p-value of 0.590 ( $p > 0.05$ ), indicating no difference in self-disclosure based on residence status. The above explanation is shown in Table 5. Table 5. Results of the Self-Disclosure Difference Test Based on Residence Status

Variable	p	Description
Self-Disclosure reviewed from the perspective of Residence Status	0.590	There is no difference

Self-Disclosure Difference Test Based on Storytelling Preference The researcher conducted additional analyses to determine whether self-disclosure differed by storytelling preference. Given that the data were not normally distributed and consisted of more than two groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. The test results showed a p-value of 0.274, indicating  $p > 0.05$ . Therefore, it can be concluded that there were no differences in self-disclosure across storytelling preferences. The above explanation is shown in Table

6. Table 6. Results of Self-Disclosure Difference <sup>4</sup> Test Based on Storytelling Preference

Variable p Description Self-Disclosure reviewed from the perspective of Storytelling Preferences 0.274 There is no difference Discussion Research consistently indicates a positive <sup>1</sup> association between secure attachment style and self-disclosure in late adolescence [13], suggesting that adolescents with higher attachment security tend to display more adaptive patterns of sharing personal information. This relationship reflects a general tendency rather than a deterministic rule: secure attachment does not automatically produce high self-disclosure, nor does lower attachment security inevitably lead to restricted disclosure [28]. Accordingly, secure attachment should be understood as a facilitating factor that contributes to, but does not solely determine, how adolescents disclose personal experiences. From a theoretical and empirical standpoint, the mechanism underlying this relationship lies primarily in the confidence dimension <sup>1</sup> of secure attachment. Adolescents who perceive themselves as worthy of care and who trust others are more capable of regulating emotions and engaging in open interpersonal communication [29]. These characteristics support adaptive self-disclosure, as reflected in overall SDS scores, indicating

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 396 a balanced capacity to share thoughts and feelings while remaining sensitive to relational context and personal boundaries [30]. These findings align with classical attachment theory, which emphasizes the protective role of secure attachment in socio-emotional development [31], [32]. Secure attachment equips adolescents with emotional regulation skills, interpersonal trust, and relational stability, all of which indirectly support self-disclosure during a developmental period characterized by <sup>5</sup> identity exploration and increasing intimacy [33]. However, variability in self-disclosure persists even among individuals with similar levels <sup>1</sup> of attachment security, as situational demands, relational norms, and individual differences also shape disclosure behavior. This explains why some securely attached adolescents disclose selectively, whereas others are more open, depending on contextual needs. The

practical implications of these findings highlight the importance of cultivating secure attachment through warm, consistent, and responsive communication within families and educational environments [34]. Interventions targeting adolescents should incorporate social-emotional learning that strengthens trust, emotional regulation, and effective communication skills. Although self-disclosure in digital contexts is increasingly relevant, it should be acknowledged that this study does not directly assess online disclosure behavior. Therefore, the implications of social media use remain inferential and require further empirical investigation [35]. Several limitations must be noted. The sample is confined to late adolescents aged 18–21, which restricts the generalizability of the findings to other age groups. The reliance on self-report measures introduces the potential for social desirability bias [22]. Moreover, the correlational design precludes causal conclusions regarding the influence of secure attachment on self-disclosure. Despite these limitations, the findings provide empirical support for a positive relationship between secure attachment style and self-disclosure in late adolescence, while reinforcing the view that self-disclosure is a multifactorial process influenced by both internal and external factors [13].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Conclusion Based on the analysis of secure attachment style and self-disclosure in late adolescence, it can be concluded that there is a significant, but weak, relationship between the two variables. This indicates that as secure attachment increases, self-disclosure tends to increase, although the rate of increase is not always the same or reaches a very high level. Conversely, if the level of secure attachment style is low, this does not necessarily mean that the level of self-disclosure is also low, as the relationship between the two is not causal and can still be influenced by other factors. It can be said that a secure attachment style is related to self-disclosure, but this relationship is correlational and does not determine the level of self-disclosure.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 397 Recommendations 1. Recommendations Related to Theoretical Benefits Several theoretical suggestions for further research are: (a) this study can serve as a reference in the development of developmental psychology and

social psychology, particularly regarding the relationship between secure attachment style and self-disclosure; (b) the researcher recommends conducting more specific research on the influence of secure attachment dimensions on self-disclosure dimensions in late adolescence; (c) The researcher also recommends research on the role of environmental factors, such as peer support or social media, on late adolescent self-disclosure; (d) further research can explore mediating or moderating mechanisms, such as emotional regulation or trust in parents, in the relationship between secure attachment and self-disclosure; and (e) the researcher suggests further development or validation of self-disclosure and attachment style measurement tools that are appropriate to the characteristics of Indonesian adolescents.

## 2. Suggestions Related to Practical Benefits

Practical suggestions that can be provided include: (a) parents are expected to improve warm, responsive, and consistent communication with their children, so that adolescents feel safe to express themselves in a healthy manner; (b) educators and counselors can develop learning programs or workshops that focus on communication skills, emotional regulation, and self-disclosure in adolescents; (c) college students or late adolescents are advised to use social media wisely when sharing personal information to maintain healthy self-disclosure and prevent oversharing; (d) universities or educational institutions can hold seminars or activities that discuss the development of interpersonal skills, emotional management, and healthy digital media use. and (e) environmental support, both from family and peers, needs to be provided so that late adolescents can build safe, healthy and adaptive interpersonal relationships, thereby improving their overall psychological wellbeing.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to their academic advisors for their guidance and valuable insights throughout the research process. Appreciation is also extended to all participants for their willingness to contribute their time and experiences, as well as to the Department of Psychology and the research ethics committee for providing essential support and approval. The authors also thank their families, friends, and colleagues for their continuous encouragement and support, which greatly contributed to the completion of this study.

## REFERENCES

[1] K.

Wilk, A. Starowicz, M. Szczecińska, and M. Budziszewska, “Childhood **3 emotional neglect and** its relationship with well-being: Mediation analyses,” *European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 100434, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ejtd.2024.100434. [2] C. V. Talbot, S. T. O’Dwyer, L. Clare, J. Heaton, and J. Anderson, “How people with dementia use twitter: A qualitative analysis,” *Comput Human Behav*, vol. 102, pp. 112–119, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.005.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 398 [3] T. P. Rustham, “Dual Earner Family Dan Pengaruhnya Pada Kesejahteraan Psikologis Anak : Sebuah Studi Literatur,” *Insight: Jurnal Ilmiah Psikologi*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 23, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.26486/psikologi.v21i1.757. [4] Yizfretty Deslya Sarosija, “The Relationship of Self-Disclosure to Relationship Satisfaction in Early Young Adults in Long Distance Relationships,” *G-Couns: Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 54–63, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.31316/gcouns.v9i1.6156. [5] M. Georgieva et al., *research on current **18 risks among young people as users of social networks***. 2024. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.14844352. [6] L. R. WHEELESS, “Self-Disclosure And Interpersonal Solidarity: Measurement, Validation, And Relationships,” *Hum Commun Res*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47–61, Sep. 1976, doi: 10.1111/j.14682958.1976.tb00503.x. [7] Selfilia Arum Kristanti and N. Eva, “Self-esteem dan Self-disclosure Generasi Z Pengguna Instagram,” *Jurnal Penelitian Psikologi*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 10–20, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.29080/jpp.v13i1.697. [8] A. Mayangsari, I. P. Reswara, S. J. Kusumawardhani, and V. Ariantika, “The Influence **14 of Adult Attachment on Mental Well-being in Young Adults**,” *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengukuran Psikologi: JPPP*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 77–84, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.21009/JPPP.132.01. [9] E. Sagone, E. Commodari, M. L. Indiana, and V. L. La Rosa, “Exploring **12 the Association between Attachment Style, Psychological Well-Being, and Relationship Status in Young Adults and** Adults— A Cross-Sectional Study,” *Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 525–539, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/ejihpe13030040. [10] R. N. Wijaya and J. L. Setiawan, “The **10 Effect Of Family**

Functioning And Friendship Quality On Selfidentity Formation In Late Adolescents With Dual-Earner Parents,” *Santhet (Jurnal Sejarah Pendidikan Dan Humaniora)*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 712–722, May 2025, doi: 10.36526/santhet.v9i2.5317. [11] S. Ambarwati, A. M. Usbah, S. A. Lestari, I. Masfia, and Z. Fahmy, “Pola Asuh Orang Tua Terhadap Kepercayaan Diri Remaja Penyandang Tunawicara Di Kecamatan Warungpring Kabupaten Pematang,” *PAEDAGOGY : Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Psikologi*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 155–161, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.51878/paedagogy.v4i2.2874. [12] N. N. Bazarova and Y. H. Choi, “Self-Disclosure <sup>2</sup> in Social Media: Extending the Functional Approach to Disclosure Motivations and Characteristics on Social Network Sites,” *Journal of Communication*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 635–657, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1111/jcom.12106. [13] W. Wang, X. Xia, and H. Zhang, “Childhood <sup>3</sup> Emotional Neglect and Cognitive Function Among Middle-Aged and Older Adults: Mediating Role of Social Engagement,” *J Interpers Violence*, vol. 39, no. 3–4, pp. 828–847, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1177/08862605231198245. [14] B. Xu, S. Wei, X. Yin, X. Jin, S. Yan, and L. Jia, “The <sup>9</sup> relationship between childhood emotional neglect experience and depressive symptoms and prefrontal resting functional connections in college students: The mediating role of reappraisal strategy,” *Front Behav Neurosci*, vol. 17, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2023.927389. [15] D. Calaresi, V. Verrastro, F. Giordano, and V. Saladino, “The Long-Term <sup>3</sup> Effects of Emotional Neglect on Family Functioning and Anxiety Symptoms in Adolescents,” *J Fam Violence*, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10896-025-01011-y. [16] L. Di Paola and A. Nocentini, “Difficulties in emotion regulation mediate the relationship between childhood emotional neglect severity and psychological well-being in Italian young adults,” *Journal of Family Trauma, Child Custody & Child Development*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 429–448, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1080/26904586.2023.2179564. [17] D. Li, W. Li, X. Lin, and X. Zhu, “Parenting pathways to friendship: how Self-Control and emotion management skills mediate preschoolers’ social lives in China?,” *BMC Psychol*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 325, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s40359-025-02641-z. [18] M. Oktaviani et al., “PARENTING AND CHILDREN’S EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT,” <sup>2</sup> *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*,

vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 47–53, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.56442/ijble.v4i1.100. [19] S. W. Lee et al., “Mediating Effect of Resilience on the Association between Emotional Neglect and Depressive Symptoms,” *Psychiatry Investig*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 62–69, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.4306/pi.2018.15.1.62. [20] W. Zuhair Muhammad, Y. Dwi Erliana, and L. Hakim, “Hubungan Jenis Kepribadian (Ekstrovert & Introvert) Dengan Pengungkapan Diri (Self Disclosure) Pada Pengguna Media Sosial Instagram: Studi Kasus Pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Psikologi Universitas Teknologi Sumbawa,” *JURNAL PSIMAWA*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 13–18, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.36761/jp.v4i1.1266.

<https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i1.876> 399 [21] C. V. Talbot, S. T. O’Dwyer, L. Clare, J. Heaton, and J. Anderson, “How people with dementia use twitter: A qualitative analysis,” *Comput Human Behav*, vol. 102, pp. 112–119, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.005. [22] S. Nolanda Destiano Lestari, N. A. F. Furau’ki, F. Darmawan, and Nurrahmawati, “Perilaku Self Disclosure Mahasiswa Kota Bandung Melalui Instagram,” *Jurnal Spektrum Komunikasi*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 66–77, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.37826/spektrum.v9i1.111. [23] C. M. Farrah, Y. A. Anastasya, and R. Julistia, “Gambaran Self Disclosure pada Remaja Pengguna Aplikasi Tiktok,” *Humanitas (Jurnal Psikologi)*, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:261535354> [24] Y. A. Khoiriyah, “Peran keluarga dalam pembentukan jiwa sosial remaja di Kelurahan Sihitang Kecamatan Padangsidempuan Tenggara ,” Doctoral Thesis, IAIN Padangsidempuan, Padangsidempuan, 2022. [25] S. Nabila, “PERKEMBANGAN REMAJA Adolescence,” 2022. [26] Orenstein GA and L. Lewis, Erikson’s Stages of Psychosocial Developmen. reasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 2022. [27] A. Rachman, E. Yochanan, A. Samanlangi, and H. Purnomo, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif Dan R&D*. 2024. [28] J. Borelli, S. Ratcliff, P. Smiley, D. Bond, J. West, and K. Buttitta, “Language Matching Among Mother-child Dyads: Associations with Child Attachment and Emotion Reactivity: Mother-child LSM,” *Social Development*, vol. 26, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1111/sode.12200. [29] J. A. Feeney, P. Noller, and M. Hanrahan, “Attachment Style

Questionnaire,” Apr. 07, 2014. doi: 10.1037/t29439-000. [30] L. R. Wheelless and J. Grotz, “Conceptualization and measurement of reported self-disclosure,” *Hum Commun Res*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 338–346, Jun. 1976, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2958.1976.tb00494.x. [31] J. Bowlby, **1** *Attachment and Loss*. New York: Basic Books, 1969. [32] M. D. S. Ainsworth, M. C. Blehar, E. Waters, and S. Wall, “Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation.,” 1978, Lawrence Erlbaum, Oxford, England. [33] E. Sagone, E. Commodari, M. L. Indiana, and V. L. La Rosa, “Exploring the Association between Attachment Style, Psychological Well-Being, and Relationship Status in Young Adults and Adults— A Cross-Sectional Study,” *Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 525–539, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/ejihpe13030040. [34] S. J. W, *Adolescence Perkembangan Remaja*. Jakarta: Erlangga, 2003. [35] C. M. Farrah, Y. A. Anastasya, and R. Julistia, “Gambaran Self Disclosure pada Remaja Pengguna Aplikasi Tiktok,” *Humanitas (Jurnal Psikologi)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 95–112, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.28932/humanitas.v7i1.5925.

## Sources

- 1 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336901956\\_Secure\\_Attachment\\_and\\_Self-Efficacy\\_in\\_Early\\_Adolescence\\_The\\_Mediating\\_Role\\_of\\_Hope](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336901956_Secure_Attachment_and_Self-Efficacy_in_Early_Adolescence_The_Mediating_Role_of_Hope)  
INTERNET  
7%

---

- 2 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373537853\\_The\\_Power\\_of\\_Social\\_Validation\\_A\\_Literature\\_Review\\_on\\_How\\_Likes\\_Comments\\_and\\_Shares\\_Shape\\_User\\_Behavior\\_on\\_Social\\_Media](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373537853_The_Power_of_Social_Validation_A_Literature_Review_on_How_Likes_Comments_and_Shares_Shape_User_Behavior_on_Social_Media)  
INTERNET  
2%

---

- 3 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468749924000577>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 4 <https://libguides.library.kent.edu/spss/pearsoncorr>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 5 <https://www.simplypsychology.org/erik-erikson.html>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 6 <https://psychology.town/developmental/adolescent-attachment-secure-base-navigation/>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 7 <https://www.statisticalaid.com/correlation-analysis-definition-formula-and-step-by-step-procedure/>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 8 <https://www.academiabees.com/acknowledgement-for-paper-publication/>  
INTERNET  
1%

---

- 9 <https://www.x-mol.com/paper/1634239618614882304>  
INTERNET  
<1%

---

- 10 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334276694\\_DUAL\\_EARNER\\_FAMILY\\_DAN\\_PENGARUHNYA\\_PADA\\_KESEJAHTERAAN\\_PSIKOLOGIS\\_ANAK\\_SEBUAH\\_STUDI\\_LITERATUR](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334276694_DUAL_EARNER_FAMILY_DAN_PENGARUHNYA_PADA_KESEJAHTERAAN_PSIKOLOGIS_ANAK_SEBUAH_STUDI_LITERATUR)  
INTERNET  
<1%

---

- 11 <https://numiqo.com/tutorial/kruskal-wallis-test>  
INTERNET  
<1%

---

- 12 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368857231\\_Exploring\\_the\\_Association\\_between\\_Attachment\\_Style\\_Psychological\\_Well-Being\\_and\\_Relationship\\_Status\\_in\\_Young\\_Adults\\_and\\_Adults-A\\_Cross-Sectional\\_Study/fulltext/63ff68b10d98a97717ca4b02/Exploring-the-Association-between-Attachment-Style-Psychological-Well-Being-and-Relationship-Status-in-Young-Adults-and-Adults-A-Cross-Sectional-Study.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368857231_Exploring_the_Association_between_Attachment_Style_Psychological_Well-Being_and_Relationship_Status_in_Young_Adults_and_Adults-A_Cross-Sectional_Study/fulltext/63ff68b10d98a97717ca4b02/Exploring-the-Association-between-Attachment-Style-Psychological-Well-Being-and-Relationship-Status-in-Young-Adults-and-Adults-A-Cross-Sectional-Study.pdf)  
INTERNET  
<1%

13	<a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29422927/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29422927/</a> INTERNET <1%
14	<a href="https://www.ivysci.com/en/articles/10213578__The_Influence_of_Adult_Attachment_on_Mental_Wellbeing_in_Young_Adults">https://www.ivysci.com/en/articles/10213578__The_Influence_of_Adult_Attachment_on_Mental_Wellbeing_in_Young_Adults</a> INTERNET <1%
15	<a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/psychology/self-disclosure">https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/psychology/self-disclosure</a> INTERNET <1%
16	<a href="https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/t29439-000">https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/t29439-000</a> INTERNET <1%
17	<a href="https://www.studocu.com/ph/document/ama-university/practical-research-1/table-1-pr-example/81118933">https://www.studocu.com/ph/document/ama-university/practical-research-1/table-1-pr-example/81118933</a> INTERNET <1%
18	<a href="https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mila-Georgieva/publication/382276436_Research_on_current_risks_among_young_people_as_users_of_social_networks/links/6696537602e9686cd10750a5/Research-on-current-risks-among-young-people-as-users-of-social-networks.pdf">https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mila-Georgieva/publication/382276436_Research_on_current_risks_among_young_people_as_users_of_social_networks/links/6696537602e9686cd10750a5/Research-on-current-risks-among-young-people-as-users-of-social-networks.pdf</a> INTERNET <1%
19	<a href="https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/understanding-shapiro-wilk-test-data-analysis">https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/understanding-shapiro-wilk-test-data-analysis</a> INTERNET <1%
20	<a href="https://insight7.io/purposive-sampling-method-in-qualitative-research-analysis/">https://insight7.io/purposive-sampling-method-in-qualitative-research-analysis/</a> INTERNET <1%
21	<a href="https://researchportal.northumbria.ac.uk/en/publications/how-people-with-dementia-use-twitter-a-qualitative-analysis">https://researchportal.northumbria.ac.uk/en/publications/how-people-with-dementia-use-twitter-a-qualitative-analysis</a> INTERNET <1%
22	<a href="https://realitypathing.com/how-to-share-personal-experiences-for-deeper-connections/">https://realitypathing.com/how-to-share-personal-experiences-for-deeper-connections/</a> INTERNET <1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES	OFF
EXCLUDE QUOTES	OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON