Journal of General Education and Humanities
Vol. 5, No. 6, December 2026, pp. 7461 – 7474, https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v5i6.2116
ISSN 2963-7147

The Church's Mission in the Digital Age: From Content Evangelism to an Incarnational, Ethical, and Transformative Witness

Hendrik Sony Paattang[1], Simon Runtung[2]
[1,2]Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Kibaid Makale, Tana Toraja, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received 2026-09-10
Revised 2026-11-11
Accepted 2026-11-15

Keywords:

Digital ethics
Incarnational witness
The church's mission
The digital age
The hybrid church

ABSTRACT

This article examines how the church's mission is transforming within Indonesia's ever-evolving digital society. The study stems from academic and pastoral concerns that increased church digital activity does not always correlate directly with the depth of its presence and witness to the faith. This research aims to formulate an incarnational, ethical, and transformative model of church mission in the digital age, while also evaluating the tendency to reduce mission to mere content production. The research employs a descriptive qualitative approach based on a literature review and contextual-theological reflection. It analyzes data on internet penetration in Indonesia, the ecumenical document *Together Towards Life*, the theology of incarnation, network society theory, and the study of digital religion (Campbell) as an analytical framework. The findings indicate that superficial digitalization of the church is characterized by an orientation toward appearance, frequency, and metrics that takes precedence over an orientation toward depth, formation, and meaning. In response, this research proposes the concept of 'digital incarnational witness' and the Five-Pillar Model of Church Mission in the Digital Age (presence, proclamation, discipleship, service, and digital ethics), together with a synthesis of the shift from a program-based church to a church based on an ecosystem of witness. The study has implications for theological education, church leadership, the discipleship of the younger generation, and the church's role as an agent of digital literacy and social justice in the Indonesian digital public sphere.

This is an open-access article under the CC BY-SA license.

Corresponding Author:

Hendrik Sony Paattang
Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Kibaid Makale, Tana Toraja, Indonesia
Email: hendriksony@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has given rise to a new culture that has significantly changed the way people interact with and understand the world, including aspects of spiritual life [1]. As the digital space fills with sounds, images, messages, campaigns, opinions, and

expressions of faith, digital transformation challenges the church to keep Christian spirituality rooted in biblical values amid the fast-paced online world [2]. The church can either bear witness to truth, love, and hope or become caught up in the logic of popularity, speed, and measurability. It also faces the challenge of redefining its mission to spread the Gospel so that it aligns with the dynamic and complex communication patterns of the digital generation [3]. Through screens, people learn, work, shop, engage in politics, experience conflict, seek entertainment, build their identities, and even seek spiritual solace.

Nevertheless, the church often gets caught up in a practical approach that prioritizes digital presence, engagement metrics, and follower growth over deep spiritual development [4]. Meanwhile, young people form relationships through social media, families communicate via messaging apps, and congregants listen to sermons through digital channels. Pastoral care no longer takes place solely in counseling rooms; it also occurs through brief conversations on WhatsApp, live-streamed services, devotional posts, and online communities. Grounded in the concept of God's incarnation as a human being, the church is compelled to manifest its presence in the digital world as an adaptive and responsive digital community [5].

Within this framework, this study is not a technical guide to creating church content but an academic reflection on the church's mission in digital society. The church is facing not merely a new tool but a new cultural landscape. It must use social media as an essential space to build spiritual communities, counter online polarization with the message of the Gospel, and reach individuals seeking connection with faith [6]. Therefore, the church's mission in the digital age cannot be understood merely as the ability to produce spiritual content; rather, it requires the courage to offer a witness rooted in the Gospel, open to science, attuned to the times, and ethically responsible. This analysis also highlights key ethical risks in digital evangelism, including the commodification of faith, the acceleration of religious discourse, and the erosion of epistemic depth [7].

Every age presents a different mission field. The early church existed within the Roman Empire, whose roads, common language, and network of cities enabled the Gospel message to spread across territorial boundaries. The Reformation unfolded against the backdrop of the printing press, which transformed how religious texts, knowledge, and authority were disseminated. Today, the church exists in a digital world that not only accelerates communication but also reshapes the way people experience presence, community, knowledge, and faith. In this context, Christian applied ethics offers practical guidance for believers seeking to embody the values of the Kingdom of God in the digital world through ethical and incarnational witness [8].

The digital space has become an integral part of Indonesian society. If people live, speak, form relationships, learn, and seek meaning in the digital space, the church cannot ignore this space as a mission field. Indeed, digital cultural transformation has significantly altered how Generation Z forms its identity, communicates, builds relationships, and experiences spirituality [3].

It is at this point that the urgency of this study becomes apparent. What is needed are scholarly questions, not merely technical ones. The question should not merely be

"which platform should the church use?", but "what kind of mission should the church embody when people live in a digital culture?" Nor should it merely be "how can we increase the audience for online worship services?", but "how can we form disciples of Christ in a society whose attention is fragmented by algorithms, notifications and a ceaseless flow of information?" Within the framework of synodality, today's digital culture is affirmed not merely as a new tool for evangelism, but as a reality that fundamentally reshapes the very foundations of the practices of listening, authority, and participation [7].

Thus, the central thesis of this study can be stated as follows: the church is called not to be the loudest voice in the digital sphere, but to be the most faithful in bringing shalom, truth, love, and hope amid the digital clamor. As a scholarly study, this discussion must be built on clear academic questions, because it is not merely a series of advice or moral exhortations, but must include intellectual issues, theoretical foundations, empirical analysis, a synthesis of thought, and a direction for transformation. To this end, the study is guided by four main academic questions. Before these are presented, it should be noted that the relationship between the church and social media in the digital age will not be a problem as long as the congregation continues to prioritize real-world communities over virtual ones [9].

First, how should the church's mission be reinterpreted in the context of a digital society without losing its theological roots in God's work for the world? This question is important because a church may be highly active online yet lose its missiological focus. Digital activity does not automatically equate to mission; a post does not automatically equate to witness; an audience does not automatically equate to a disciple; and reach does not automatically equate to transformation. The church's calling is to form a community that goes beyond digital connections by embodying genuine solidarity, a spirit of mutual service, and tangible social action [4].

Second, how is the digital space transforming patterns of presence, authority, community, discipleship, and church ministry? This question calls for a deeper understanding of digitalization, because the digital space is not merely a channel for distributing messages but a space that shapes how people pay attention, assess truth, follow figures, and construct identity. Studies on the relationship between the church and social media in Indonesia show that virtual and real-world communities cannot simply be pitted against one another, as each influences the other in contemporary religious practice (Nole et al., 2024).

Third, what kind of mission model can help the church to be present in an incarnational, ethical, and transformative way in the digital age? This question leads the study to its central idea, namely the need for 'digital incarnational witness', a term used to denote a form of the church's presence that not only conveys spiritual messages through technology but also embodies love, empathy, justice, accompaniment, and restoration in both digital and physical spaces. A reconstructed mission paradigm, grounded in the Missio Dei and its incarnational, relational, dialogical, participatory, and community-centered nature, positions digital technology as an instrument of ministry, not its primary objective [10].

Fourth, what strategic agenda should the church, theological education institutions, and ministry communities develop to ensure that digital mission does not degenerate into mere content creation? This is a practical question, because the church requires a roadmap, not merely enthusiasm. Such a roadmap includes digital literacy, communication governance, media ethics, training for digital ministers, and mechanisms for evaluating the impact of ministry.

Based on these four academic questions, this study aims to formulate a model of church mission that is incarnational, ethical, and transformative in the digital age. It also critiques the tendency to reduce the church's mission to mere content production and formulates a strategic agenda for the church, theological education, and church leadership in Indonesia.

2. METHOD

This study uses a descriptive, qualitative, conceptual-theological approach, developed through library research and contextual-theological reflection on church digitalization. The study is grounded in a systematic theological literature review, which requires a structured approach aligned with theology's task of formulating sound and contextual Christian doctrine [11]. This approach was chosen because the issues under consideration, namely the shift in the church's mission, authority, community, and witness in the digital sphere, are conceptual in nature and require interdisciplinary synthesis rather than the measurement of empirical variables.

The data sources for this study comprise three groups. The first consists of Indonesia's digital population statistics, namely the reports from the Indonesian Internet Service Providers Association, which were used to map Indonesia's digital landscape as the empirical context for the church's mission. These sources are highly diverse, ranging from personal archives, institutional and government documents, printed publications, and audiovisual recordings to modern digital formats such as emails and blogs [12]. The second group consists of theological and ecumenical documents and literature, which serve as the primary references for the foundations of the Missio Dei and the theology of the incarnation. The third group includes literature on the social sciences and digital religious studies, covering network society theory, online and offline religious studies, the study of social media as a cultural space, and studies on the church and digital ministry in Indonesia. In this context, Theological Librarianship is an open-access journal that publishes peer-reviewed articles, essays, and reviews on topics at the intersection of library science and theological and religious studies, with the potential to affect the world of librarianship [13].

The analysis was conducted using a thematic-theological approach in three stages. First, the Indonesian digital context was mapped, and the tendency for the church's mission to be reduced to content-related activities was identified. Literature searches can be carried out either through a subject-keyword-based catalog system or by physically searching the collection shelves using a limited classification system [14]. Second, the theological foundations (Missio Dei and the Incarnation) and the social science lens (network society and digital religion) were examined in order to re-examine this phenomenon critically.

Third, the findings were synthesized into a conceptual framework, namely "digital incarnational witness," which is further operationalized into the Five-Pillar Model of Church Mission in the Digital Age and a strategic agenda for the church, theological education, and church leadership.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. An Unchanging Mission Amid a Changing Media Landscape

One misconception in interpreting the digital age is the assumption that only the tools have changed. On this view, the church once used a pulpit and now uses a camera; it once printed newsletters and now uploads posters; and it once held face-to-face gatherings and now hosts Zoom meetings. This interpretation is not entirely wrong, but it is too narrow. Media studies are often criticized for focusing too much on change and neglecting continuity, which frequently leads to exaggerated claims of disruption [15]. Digitalization does transform tools, but it also transforms the ecology of communication and human social experience.

Recognizing this broader transformation requires a distinction between essence and expression in the church's mission. The essence of the church's mission is rooted in God, who sends. The church does not create its mission out of itself; rather, it takes part in God's mission. By centering the Trinitarian God as the primary agent, missio Trinitas invites the church to move beyond mere programs and to participate communally in a deeply spiritual, holistic mission for the entire world [16]. Within the ecumenical tradition, the document *Together Towards Life* emphasizes that mission is understood as God's life-giving, restorative movement, which calls the church to participate in God's work for the world. Thus, mission is not primarily a church program but rather the church's participation in God's work, which is greater than the church as an institution. By embracing its mission as an existential calling, the church can be more innovative and responsive in addressing today's sociocultural challenges without compromising its fundamental theological principles [17].

Nevertheless, the expression of the mission is always historical and contextual. A faithful church does not freeze past forms of ministry, but can distinguish what must be preserved as the core of the faith from what must be adapted as a form of witness. The concept of 'missio Trinitas' affirms the Triune God as the primary agent of mission, calling the church to move beyond mere programs toward a communal, participatory spirituality in order to bring the full Gospel to the whole world [16]. The Gospel remains unchanged, but the language used to proclaim it must be understandable. The call to love remains unchanged, but ministry must address real needs. The mandate of discipleship remains unchanged, but the methods of discipleship must account for the context of the generation, culture, and technology. The question, then, is not whether the church should use technology, but how it can use technology without losing sight of its mission. Technology can broaden our reach, but only love brings depth. Technology can speed up the delivery of a message, but only witness gives it meaning. Technology can expand our audience, but only discipleship shapes lives.

3.2. Indonesia's Digital Landscape as a New Mission Field

Indonesia is one of the world's largest digital societies, and almost every aspect of public life is now intertwined with digital technology. Education uses learning platforms, and commerce happens through online marketplaces and social media. The current digital ecosystem relies heavily on smartphones at home for entertainment and social media, although disparities in internet access between urban and rural areas, as well as across regions, persist [18]. Politics takes place through digital campaigns, and popular culture is produced and consumed through short videos. Even conversations about faith, prayer, reflection, and theological discussions often happen through digital channels. Digital entrepreneurship in Indonesia has been best realized through the pentahelix model, thanks to effective synergy among five stakeholders: the government, the business sector, academia, the community, and the mass media [19].

The introduction shows that the digital space is not marginal but central to social life. In the context of the church's mission, this fact has serious implications. Changing times are compelling the Church and religious institutions to adopt online worship, digital discipleship, and the building of virtual communities as new standards of practice [20]. If most Indonesians are now connected to the internet, the church's mission cannot be conceived solely in terms of physical geography. It must also be conceived in terms of network geography: the spaces of conversation, seeking, community, crisis, loneliness, conflict, and hope that exist in the digital realm.

This is where the church needs to demonstrate theological depth. The digital mission is not merely about reaching more people, but about reaching people more humanly. The church must not measure the success of its ministry solely by the number of views, followers, or level of engagement. All these indicators can be useful, but they must not be the ultimate measure. Digital engagement strategies through social media and instant messaging applications can broaden the ministry's reach, while strengthening cultural relevance serves as the foundation for deepening spiritual meaning [21]. The measure of a mission is faithfulness to the Gospel, the growth of love, the formation of disciples, the pursuit of justice, the accompaniment of the vulnerable, and the restoration of community.

Therefore, the church must be present in the digital space with a dual awareness: open to opportunities, yet critical of the logic that shapes them. The digital space is a mission field, but also a testing ground. There, the church's faithfulness is tested not only by its ability to speak, but also by its ability to listen, discern, accompany, and love.

3.3. Missio Dei and the Roots of the Church's Mission

To avoid reducing mission to mere content, the church must return to its theological foundation, namely the Missio Dei: the understanding that mission originates from God. The church does not own mission; it participates in God's mission. Mission is not merely institutional expansion, but participation in God's work, which brings life, salvation, justice, reconciliation, and hope to creation. A richer and more fruitful understanding of the missio Dei requires an approach grounded fundamentally in the processio Dei (the

procession of the Trinity). This close connection has been recognized since the early church, and that calls for further theological exploration within missiology [22].

Mission as a journey towards a life of wholeness. Mission must not be reduced to mere growth in membership or the expansion of influence; rather, it must be understood in relation to life, justice, restoration, and the work of the Holy Spirit in the world. This understanding is highly relevant to the digital age, because the digital realm also contains life challenges: loneliness, anxiety, verbal abuse, fraud, disinformation, addiction, bullying, data exploitation, and identity crises. To fulfill the Missio Dei effectively, the contemporary church requires a holistic approach to mission that combines pastoral education, social justice, and digital technology [23].

Thus, the Missio Dei provides direction for digital mission: not merely to be present, but to bring life; not merely to speak, but to bear witness; not merely to reach out, but to restore. A faithful digital church is not one with the most advanced technology, but one that most clearly discerns God's calling amid the complexities of our times.

3.4. The Incarnation and the Presence of the Church

Alongside the Missio Dei, another important foundation for the church's mission in the digital age is the theology of the incarnation. In the Christian faith, God does not save humanity from a distance. God is present in history, taking on human form and entering into language, culture, suffering, and everyday life. The incarnation shows that God's love is not abstract, but present, close, touching, and bearing. The Incarnation of God in Christ is understood as the substantial manifestation of ultimate love, whereby the church, as His body, is called to embody persistently and to proclaim that love to every human being without exception [24].

In this context, the concept of 'digital incarnational witness' becomes crucial. Digital incarnational witness means the church's presence in the digital space continues to reflect Christ's pattern: being present with love, speaking with truth, accompanying with empathy, serving with humility, and rejecting all forms of dehumanization. An incarnational digital presence is not merely visible, but can be felt as love. Thus, the theology of the incarnation reminds the church that digitalization must not strip ministry of its humanity. The digital transformation from face-to-face interaction to virtual communication has changed the nature of human relationships and has influenced spiritual experiences and religious practices within the church [25]. Technology must serve presence, not replace it. Cameras can aid proclamation, but must not replace compassion. Apps can speed up communication, but must not replace accompaniment. Platforms can broaden reach, but must not replace concrete love.

3.5. Networked Societies and Changing Social Relations

To understand the church's mission in the digital age, we also need a social science perspective. One relevant perspective is the theory of the network society, which holds that contemporary society is increasingly shaped by information networks, communication flows and relational structures that transcend geographical boundaries. In a network society, power operates not only through formal institutions but also through the ability to

manage information flows, build connections and create meaning within networks. The concept of the 'Network Society', as proposed by Manuel Castells, defines a new form of social organization underpinned by microelectronics and centered on information flows [26].

If this theory is applied to ecclesiastical reflection, it becomes apparent that the congregation is no longer shaped solely by the local pulpit, family, school or physical community. It is also shaped by digital preachers, spiritual influencers, video clips, podcasts, recommendation algorithms, chat groups and cross-regional communities. Religious authority has not disappeared, but it has shifted, and it now often competes with visibility. What is most visible is not always the most true, and what appears most frequently is not always the most profound. This reflects a shift in the model of religious authority from a hierarchical one to a network-based and relational one, in which legitimacy is continually negotiated within the digital sphere rather than formally conferred [27].

The network society also reveals that communities are no longer built solely on geographical proximity. People may feel close to spiritual figures they have never physically met, yet feel distant from their local church community. This presents a pastoral challenge. The church must ask how it can build communities that remain deeply rooted as human life becomes increasingly networked. How can it nurture depth when communication is becoming ever faster? How can it foster loyalty when spiritual choices are available at the touch of a button?

3.6. Digital Religion and the Hybrid Church

Studies of digital religion show that religion does not merely use the internet; it also changes how it is practiced, negotiated, and interpreted. In the study of digital religion, online and offline spaces cannot be strictly separated, because they shape one another. Online worship experiences can influence how a person understands the physical church, and conversations on social media can influence a person's perception of spiritual authority. Digital communities can strengthen, but they can also weaken, ties to local communities. Online and offline religious spaces and practices are interconnected, overlapping, and merging as religious groups and practitioners seek to integrate their religious lives with technology across various aspects of digital culture [28].

Thus, a hybrid church is not merely a church that offers activities in two formats, offline and online. Rather, it is a church that can create an integrated ecosystem of ministry. In-person and digital worship are not two mutually exclusive worlds but spaces that need to be connected through pastoral care. Digital platforms expand access to worship, religious education, pastoral care, and congregational communication, especially for members constrained by mobility, time, health, or distance [29]. Face-to-face teaching and digital content are treated not as separate activities but as a continuum of faith formation. Similarly, social ministry in the community and digital campaigns are not separated but mutually reinforce one another in expanding solidarity.

3.7. The Shift in Religious Authority in the Digital Sphere

One of the most fundamental shifts in the digital era is the transition of authority. In the past, religious authority was largely shaped by institutional structures, community recognition, theological education, pastoral experience, and exemplary living. Today, that authority has not vanished, but it has entered a new arena. In the digital space, authority frequently competes with visibility. Computer-mediated communication sometimes undermines and erodes the authority of traditional churches; on the other hand, it has also been shown to assist and strengthen their position [30]. What appears most often on the screen is not necessarily the most truthful. What is shared the most is not necessarily the most responsible. What is visually the most engaging is not necessarily the most theologically healthy.

Here, the church confronts a serious academic question: how can ecclesiastical and theological authority be maintained when digital spaces tend to shape authority through algorithms, popularity, and communicative appeal? Therefore, the church's task is not to reject the digital space but to construct a renewed authority rooted in ancient faithfulness: an authority derived from the truth of the Gospel, diligent study, integrity of life, the quality of ministry, and the capacity to communicate in the language of the times. Overconfident clergy often see communication technologies as a means to re-legitimize their expertise, using academic styles that were not possible before digital media [31]. The church's authority in the digital age must not be built through a domineering style but through trustworthy witness. A church of genuine stature is not the one that shouts the loudest but the one whose words align with love, justice, and an exemplary life.

3.8. Community Fragmentation and Consumerist Spirituality

In the church tradition, the Christian faith has never been understood as an individual journey detached from the body of Christ. Faith is shaped within fellowship, teaching, prayer, worship, service, discipline, and communal life. The digital space, however, brings both opportunities and risks. Secular competition, criticism of religion's commodification, and the dilemma of transcendental marketing constrain religious organizations [32]. The digital space enables people to connect with many communities, but it does not always root individuals in a single community that truly shapes their lives. The academic question that needs to be posed is whether digital connectivity genuinely produces fellowship or merely creates a fragmented and consumerist religious experience. New spirituality is regarded as a form of self-affirmation that promotes the cosmic glorification of the human ego in the late modern era [33].

In the digital space, a person can follow numerous worship services from various churches, listen to many preachers, save many spiritual quotes, and join countless groups. However, none of these activities necessarily generates deep commitment, responsibility, or growth. A consumerist spirituality may emerge, in which believers choose spiritual content much as they would choose entertainment, shifting from one preacher to another and from one worship atmosphere to another without any process of ongoing discipleship.

3.9. Disinformation, Polarization, and the Crisis of Truth

The digital age gives people access to a vast amount of information. However, an abundance of information does not automatically produce wisdom; in many ways, it introduces new confusion. A framework that views cyber-wisdom as key to addressing online risks and opportunities through the application of character virtues for digital wellbeing comprises four components: literacy, reasoning, self-reflection, and motivation for cyber-wisdom [34]. People can know many things, but they cannot always distinguish what is true from what is half-true, what is manipulated, or what is intentionally spread to mislead. In this context, the church faces an academic as well as a moral question: how can it become a community of truth amid a digital space that often accelerates disinformation, polarization, and symbolic violence?

The integration of Christian theology plays a crucial role in shaping pupils' character by instilling moral, spiritual, and social values, such as love, honesty, discipline, responsibility, humility, and forgiveness, to be applied in their daily lives [35]. In this regard, social media is not merely a medium of communication but also a cultural space in which identity, relationships, and meaning are produced. Therefore, believers' behavior on social media is not a neutral territory outside the realm of faith. Moreover, low levels of information literacy, stemming from a lack of media literacy, create various threats [36]. The way a Christian comments, shares news, responds to criticism, and treats an interlocutor is part of the witness of faith. In simpler terms, digital ethics is part of spirituality.

3.10. The Five-Pillar Model of the Church's Mission in the Digital Age

To keep digital incarnational witness from remaining merely a concept, this study offers a conceptual model called the Five Pillars of Church Mission in the Digital Era. This model consists of five pillars: presence, proclamation, discipleship, ministry, and digital ethics. The first pillar is presence. Integrating digital technology with Maria Harris's curricular framework enhances the church's effectiveness in serving the modern congregation while contributing theoretically and practically to the church's adaptation in the digital age [37]. The church needs to be present consistently and meaningfully in the digital space. Presence does not simply mean having official accounts; rather, it means building a space that can be trusted. This is illustrated by digital evangelism and a metaverse mission that leads to discipleship and genuine fellowship through a biblical examination of the church's three main tasks: koinonia, diakonia, and marturia [38]. The church needs to answer the congregation's questions, strengthen the weak, respond to crises, and provide clear information. Good digital presence must make people feel that the church is not a distant institution but a caring community.

The second pillar is proclamation. The church is still called to proclaim the Gospel. However, proclamation in the digital era requires attention to language, format, context, and communication ethics. The message of the Gospel must be delivered accurately, yet in an understandable way. In this regard, Hia and Waruwu emphasize that digital technology opens up great opportunities for proclaiming the Gospel. Nevertheless, that proclamation must maintain the message's depth and moral responsibility. The digital church is a

legitimate, complementary form of Christian practice, not a substitute for physical worship, and therefore requires theological adaptation to give meaning to presence, sacred space, and pastoral care in the virtual realm [39].

The third pillar is discipleship, which is the pillar most often neglected in the digitalization of the church. Many churches excel at broadcasting but struggle with mentoring, and many upload devotionals diligently but lack a clear path for accompaniment. Nevertheless, the church's mandate does not stop at making people listen; it also involves helping them grow as disciples. Digital discipleship can take the form of online classes, hybrid small groups, youth mentoring, interactive learning materials, and ongoing pastoral conversations. Moreover, effectively integrating digital technology must go hand in hand with strengthening critical literacy and affirming humanistic values to foster learners who are reflective, ethical, and responsible in their approach to digital information [40].

The fourth pillar is ministry. Whereas discipleship concerns the formation of believers, digital mission must also touch real needs. The church can use technology for social ministry, mental health education, family digital literacy, strengthening the congregation's economy, youth mentoring, and solidarity with vulnerable groups. The digital space can bridge to physical ministry. It is not enough for the church to say "God bless you" in the comment section; the church needs to ask, "How can we help?"

The fifth pillar is digital ethics. Without ethics, all the other pillars can be compromised. The church needs guidelines for social media use, the protection of congregation data, ethical standards for publishing photos and videos, protocols for responding to digital conflicts, and policies regarding the use of artificial intelligence. Digital ethics is not an administrative add-on but an integral part of the testimony of faith.

4. CONCLUSION

Having outlined the five pillars, this study returns to its initial concern: as the world becomes increasingly digital, is the church truly becoming more present, or merely more visible? This question must be considered simultaneously as a spiritual, academic, and institutional inquiry, because it is easy for the church to appear busy, modern, and relevant, but far more difficult to be truly present with love, remain faithful to the truth, form disciples deeply, accompany the wounded, and genuinely become a sign of the Kingdom of God amid a fractured world.

Based on the discussion in the results section, it can be concluded that the digital era teaches speed, reach, connectivity, and visibility, whereas the Gospel teaches faithfulness, depth, fellowship, and witness. Therefore, it is not enough for the church to ask "how do we get seen?"; it must ask "how is Christ declared?" Likewise, it is not enough to ask "how do we get our content shared?"; the church must ask "how is human life restored?" Finally, it is not enough to ask "how do we make our ministry viral?" The church must ask, "How do God’s love, justice, and hope become real?"

To address this problem, this study proposes the concept of digital incarnational witness, operationalized through the Five Pillars Model of the Church's Mission in the Digital Era (presence, proclamation, discipleship, service, and digital ethics), together with

a synthesis of the shift from a program-based church to a witness-ecosystem-based church. This concept invites the church to move from content proclamation to humane presence, from audience reach to disciple formation, from media activity to a mission ecosystem, from technological adaptation to ethical responsibility, and from a merely online church to a truly present church.

The future of the church's mission is determined not by the sophistication of its devices but by the clarity with which the church understands its calling. Technology will continue to change, platforms will come and go, algorithms will be updated, and content formats will shift, but the calling of the church remains: to be witnesses of Christ, to manifest love, to declare truth, to fight for justice, to form disciples, and to serve the world.

Building on this conclusion, this study makes three specific recommendations. First, church leaders should not surrender the digital space solely to the logic of the market, entertainment, and algorithms, but should engage it with pastoral wisdom and prophetic courage. Second, theological education institutions should form a generation of ministers who can interpret Scripture faithfully while reading the times clearly, and who are eloquent in speaking, wise in listening, meticulous in evaluating, and humble in serving. Third, churches in Indonesia generally should become churches that learn, listen, and serve; that dare to change without losing their roots; that are present in the digital space without losing their body; that are communicative without losing holiness; that are relevant without losing truth; and that are modern without losing love.

Thus, the mission of the church in the digital era is ultimately not about replacing the Gospel with technology, but about presenting the Gospel faithfully in a world shaped by technology; not about making the church look more sophisticated, but about making the witness of the church more meaningful; and not about chasing human attention, but about directing humanity to the God who gives life. The author expresses appreciation to all parties, including institutional leaders, clergy, academics, lecturers, researchers, students, and church workers, who have provided space for this academic and spiritual reflection.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Sriyanto and A. Suseno, “Teologi Digital Dan Relevansi Misi Gereja Di Era Virtual: Studi Kritis Evangelisasi Online Di Kalangan Generasi Milenial Dan Gen Z,” *Manna Rafflesia*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 256–269, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.38091/man_raf.v12i1.623.

[2] A. Sihombing, “Spiritualitas Kristen Dalam Bayang-Bayang Virtualitas: Menafsir Kehadiran Ilahi Dan Tanggung Jawab Etis Gereja Di Era Digital Global,” *Manna Rafflesia*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 200–212, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.38091/man_raf.v12i1.619.

[3] L. Malonda, “Reconstructing The Church’s Mission Paradigm For Generation Z Amid Digital Cultural Transformation,” *Kognisio*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 104–117, Jul. 2026, doi: 10.63284/jk.v2i2.66.

[4] H. W. Murmanma, “Missionary Ecclesiology in the Digital Native Era: A Theological Reconstruction Based on the Synoptic Gospel Narratives,” *TELEIOS J. Teol. dan Pendidik. Agama Kristen*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 38–51, Jun. 2026, doi: 10.53674/teleios.v6i1.367.

[5] D. Ronda, F. Gumelar, and H. Wijaya, “The Church in a Digital Society: An Effort to Transform Church Ministry in Indonesia,” *Pharos J. Theol.*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2024, doi: 10.46222/pharosjot.10526.

[6] D. Becker, “Tugas dan Tanggung Jawab Misiologis Gereja di Era Digital,” *J. Teol. Vocatio Dei*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 16–23, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.62926/jtvd.v6i1.65.

[7] J. S. Kappipparambil, "Synodal Listening Under Algorithmic Conditions: Digital Missionaries, Ecclesial Communication, and Ethical Mediation in the Network Society," Jul. 2026. doi: 10.35469/978-961-7276-27-5_16.

[8] J. S. Toisuta, "Etika Terapan Kristen di Era Digital: Fondasi Teologis dan Transformasi Moral-Sosial," *Kard. J. Teol. dan Pendidik. Kristiani*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–50, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.69932/kardia.v4i1.63.

[9] O. A. Nole, J. M. Benu, J. N. J. Ruhukail, D. A. Radja Hedo, and S. Delahoya, "Komunitas Virtual dan Riil: Relasi Gereja dan Media Sosial di Era Digital," *Mitra Sriwij. J. Teol. dan Pendidik. Kristen*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 146–163, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.46974/ms.v5i2.122.

[10] C. J. P. Niemandt and D. Niemandt, "Joining the Spirit: Missio Dei and artificial intelligence in digital missional praxis," *HTS Teol. Stud. / Theol. Stud.*, vol. 81, no. 1, May 2025, doi: 10.4102/hts.v81i1.10600.

[11] M. Uling and C. Candrawati, "Library Research Dalam Penelitian Teologi Sistematik: Reafirmasi," *J. LUXNOS*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 323–336, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.47304/dhkwwf29.

[12] J. H. Wolf, "Historical Methods," *J. Hum. Lact.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 282–284, May 2018, doi: 10.1177/0890334418757448.

[13] J. Bartholomew, "Review of A Guide to Theological Reflection: A Fresh Approach for Practical Ministry Courses and Theological Field Education," *Theol. Librariansh.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 41–43, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.31046/tl.v14i2.2942.

[14] D. Ortiz-Rivera and B. Michael, "De-Homogenizing Liberation Theologies in the Catalog and on the Shelves," *Atla Summ. Proc.*, pp. 189–199, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.31046/bk2qx986.

[15] O. Driessens, "Not everything is changing: on the relative neglect and meanings of continuity in communication and social change research," *Commun. Theory*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 32–41, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1093/ct/qtac022.

[16] D. Cronshaw, "Missio Dei Is Missio Trinitas: Sharing the Whole Life of God, Father, Son and Spirit," *Mission Stud.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 119–141, May 2020, doi: 10.1163/15733831-12341699.

[17] J. P. Gotopo, "Missio Dei as a Foundational Ontological Reality of the Church," *LOGIA J. Teol. Pentakosta*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 74–87, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.37731/log.v6i2.299.

[18] R. Sianturi, "Demographics and Implications for Christian Religious Education in Indonesia: A Data-Informed Framework Based on Telecommunication Statistics 2024," *Formosa J. Multidiscip. Res.*, 2025, doi: 10.55927/fjmr.v4i12.652.

[19] N. Aini, D. M. N. Amanah, and N. K. Putri, "Pengembangan Kewirausahaan Digital di Indonesia," *Coopetition J. Ilm. Manaj.*, 2023, doi: 10.32670/coopetition.v14i2.3264.

[20] C. Tessy and A. Siligar, "Pelayanan Misi Holistik Memakai Media Digital," *J. Teol. Kontekst. Indones.*, 2023, doi: 10.46445/jtki.v4i1.652.

[21] M. Wagiu, S. Selanno, H. S. Sajanga, E. Manua, and A. Kota, "Misi Dan Pemuridan Kristen Gereja Pantekosta Di Indonesia (GPdI) Di Sulawesi Utara: Tantangan Atau Peluang Di Era Digital," *Manna Rafflesia*, 2025, doi: 10.38091/man_raf.v11i2.507.

[22] C.-H. Baik, "A Critical Analysis of the Concept of Missio Dei. Suggestions for a Trinitarian Understanding," *Neue Zeitschrift für Syst. Theol. und Relig.*, vol. 63, pp. 329–340, 2021, doi: 10.1515/nzsth-2021-0019.

[23] D. G. Lemeng, "Missio Dei : Peran Gereja Sebagai Misi Allah Di Era Modern," *PASOLO J. Ilmu Teol. dan Pendidik. Kristen*, 2026, doi: 10.70420/pasolo.v3i1.165.

[24] M. Cornelio-Arias, "Incarnational presence as prophetic resistance: A missiological critique of digital church in the algorithmic age," *Missiology An Int. Rev.*, 2026, doi: 10.1177/00918296261450198.

[25] Y. Tanugraha and A. Amtiran, "Christology in the Digital Age: A Constructive Theological Study," *Indones. J. Christ. Educ. Theol.*, 2026, doi: 10.55927/ijcet.v5i2.21.

[26] C. Cardoso, C. M. Costa, B. Damásio, and S. Mendonça, "The 'Network Society' moves in mysterious ways: 25 years in the reception of a core concept," *Quant. Sci. Stud.*, 2025, doi: 10.1162/qss_a_00366.

[27] N. Yuliani, "Relasi Agama dan Media Digital Transformasi Otoritas Keagamaan di Era Komunikasi Virtual," *J. Penelit. Agama Hindu*, 2026, doi: 10.37329/jpah.v10i1.4942.

[28] H. Campbell and G. Evolvi, "Contextualizing current digital religion research on emerging technologies," *Hum. Behav. Emerg. Technol.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/hbe2.149.

[29] D. Miharja, M. Wibisono, and N. A. N. Nisa, "Digital Religion and Hybrid Worship in a Protestant Minority Congregation," *Khazanah Theol.*, 2025, doi: 10.15575/kt.v7i2.54273.

[30] M. Andok, "The Impact of Online Media on Religious Authority," *Religions*, 2024, doi: 10.3390/rel15091103.

[31] P. Cheong, "The vitality of new media and religion: Communicative perspectives, practices, and changing authority in spiritual organization," *New Media Soc.*, vol. 19, pp. 25–33, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1461444816649913.

[32] J. Stolz and J.-C. Usunier, "Religions as brands? Religion and spirituality in consumer society," *J. Manag. Spiritual. Relig.*, vol. 16, pp. 31–36, 2019, doi: 10.1080/14766086.2018.1445008.

[33] A. Dawson, "Consuming the Self: New Spirituality as 'Mystified Consumption,'" *Soc. Compass*, vol. 58, pp. 309–315, 2011, doi: 10.1177/0037768611412137.

[34] G. Polizzi and T. Harrison, "Wisdom in the digital age: a conceptual and practical framework for understanding and cultivating cyber-wisdom," *Ethics Inf. Technol.*, vol. 24, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10676-022-09640-3.

[35] L. H. S. Chendralisan, A. Bagoes, and D. M. Tambunan, "Integration of Christian Theology in Character Formation of Students," *J. Gen. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 4867–4876, 2026.

[36] K. Borawska-Kalbarczyk, "In the digital world of (dis)information – from manipulation to knowledge and wisdom," vol. 592, pp. 3–19, 2020, doi: 10.5604/01.3001.0014.3565.

[37] B. Monika *et al.*, "Panggilan Gereja di Era Digital:," *MANTHANO J. Pendidik. Kristen*, 2025, doi: 10.55967/manthano.v4i2.93.

[38] D. Sidianto, F. Simanjuntak, and J. Prihanto, "Mission in the age of digitization," *Davar J. Teol.*, 2024, doi: 10.55807/davar.v4i2.46.

[39] E. Waruwu, A. G. Pasaribu, E. Sitopu, and L. L. Tobing, "Digital Churches and Faith Education in the Virtual Age: A Theological Study on the Validity of Online Worship and Communities," *Formosa J. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 5305–5314, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.55927/fjmr.v4i11.571.

[40] D. Mutiah, F. M. Ilham, R. B. Aji, I. Setiawan, and A. K. Abdi, "The Transformation of Humanistic Values in Education in the Digital Age: An Analysis of the Role of Critical Literacy in Shaping Student Character"," *J. Gen. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 3667–3676, 2026.